

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,584	07/29/2003	Yannis Labrou	1634.1002 4134		
21171	7590 01/09/2008			EXAMINER	
STAAS & HA SUITE 700			LEVINE, ADAM L		
	201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. /ASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W. I.B. III. (0.10	. 6.1, 2 6 20005		3625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		,	01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<u> </u>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Supplemental	10/628,584	LABROU ET AL.
	Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
		Adam Levine	3625
here	The MAILING DATE of this communication appearains being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS ewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) FICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Rule Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apply or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. [☑ This communication is responsive to <i>Information disclosur</i>	e statements filed 29 November 200	7 and 18 December 2007.
2. 🛭	☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-31</u> .		•
Ap nc TH 4. [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: * Poplicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" toted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM HIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submained in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give a company of the company of the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the Attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 teach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. witted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the Co 84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	complying with the requirements S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient. 948) attached Office action of the back) of d). nust be submitted. Note the
1. [≥ 2. [_	Inchment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date See Continuation Sheet	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr	(PTO-413), e
4. [Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
		9. 🔲 Other	/h

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

; {} Continuation of Attachment(s) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date: 29 November 2007, 18 December 2007.

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on November 29, 2007, and December 18, 2007, were filed after the mailing date of the Post-Allowance communication on November 14, 2007. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Supplemental Reasons for Allowance

The previously noted reasons for allowance are maintained. The following is an examiner's statement of additional reasons for allowance in light of the references disclosed with the information disclosure statement filed November 29, 2007:

Regarding independent claim 1

Claim 1 recites a method for conducting a purchasing agreement for goods and services between a consumer and a merchant through a secure transaction server (STS) as a trusted third party, comprising *inter alia*:

generating, by the consumer independently of the merchant and the STS, a

consumer view of the purchasing agreement secured based upon both a first mobile

device parameter stored in a consumer mobile device and a second mobile device

parameter input to the consumer mobile device;

transmitting over an open and non-secure wireless communication channel the

Art Unit: 3625

secured consumer view of the purchasing agreement to the merchant;

generating, by the merchant independently of the consumer and the STS, a secured merchant view of the agreement;

transmitting the consumer and merchant views of the agreement to the STS; and verifying, by the STS, conditions of the purchase agreement including identities of the merchant and the consumer in the independently generated secured consumer and merchant views of the purchase agreement, based upon the first and second consumer mobile device parameters for the secured consumer view;

Smith et al. (International Publication No. WO 01/35570 A1), teaches the use of two (or more) parameters provided by and transmitted between parties to secure and confirm agreement terms and the identities of the parties. Smith teaches the issuing and transmission of transactions IDs, party IDs, a transaction key, and potentially a user password or PIN by and between a transaction administrator, a merchant and a buyer. Smith discloses transmission of various parameters from buyer to merchant and then to the transaction administrator, and transmission of parameters form merchant to buyer and then to transaction administrator, however, Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest a method for conducting a purchasing agreement for goods and services between a consumer and a merchant through a secure transaction server (STS) as a trusted third party, comprising *inter alia*:

generating, by the consumer independently of the merchant and the STS, a consumer view of the purchasing agreement secured based upon both a first mobile

Art Unit: 3625

device parameter stored in a consumer mobile device and a second mobile device

parameter input to the consumer mobile device;

transmitting over an open and non-secure wireless communication channel the secured consumer view of the purchasing agreement to the merchant;

generating, by the merchant independently of the consumer and the STS, a secured merchant view of the agreement;

transmitting the consumer and merchant views of the agreement to the STS; and verifying, by the STS, conditions of the purchase agreement including identities of the merchant and the consumer in the independently generated secured consumer and merchant views of the purchase agreement, based upon the first and second consumer mobile device parameters for the secured consumer view.

For example, Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest the independent generation of a consumer view of a purchasing agreement by a consumer and the independent generation of a merchant view of a purchasing agreement by a merchant. Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest the securing of the independently generated consumer view of the purchasing agreement by both a first parameter stored on a consumer device and a second parameter input into the device while the consumer view of the agreement is transmitted on a non-secure channel to the merchant, who transmits both versions of the agreement to the transaction administrator (STS), with said administrator then verifying the conditions of the agreement including identities of the parties and the independent views of the

Art Unit: 3625

agreement, based on the first and second consumer device parameters for the secured consumer view.

Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam Levine whose telephone number is 571.272.8122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571.272.6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 3625

Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adam Levine Patent Examiner December 28, 2007

> MATTHEN S. GARTER 3600 MATTHEN EXAMINER 3600 PRIMARY CENTER 3600 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600